



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/756,835

01/13/2004

Axel Knauff

KNAUFF-5

1419

20151 7590 03/09/2009

HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC

HENRY M FEIEREISEN

708 THIRD AVENUE

SUITE 1501

NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER

BARRERA, RAMON M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2832

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/09/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/756,835	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KNAUFF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RAMON M. BARRERA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2832	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RAMON M. BARRERA. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Henry Feiereisen. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: see attached proposed amendment of claim 8.

Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendment of claim 8 overcomes 112p2 rejection made in last office action. The preamble of claim 19 should be amended for consistency with its parent claim. Claims to a combination (motor) would not be subject to restriction unless they were drafted broader than the present claims to the subcombination (module).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ramon M Barrera/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832	
--	--